Filed 05/03/2006 Page 1 of 3 Document 5 In the United states District court for the District of Delaware ceveslaction Mark Turulskisa plainty ND. 06-245 18-M.S. amerak Defendant In reply to Defendants offirmalnie Defenses dated 4/14-06. Plainty finds Defenses to me without merell, not correct, not recurate and N/A: Ect. and Plaintify stands on its complaint que. rights including arricall IIII and IX of the Bell of Rights & Ct, also all witherses, evolance et. in conflaint and superior court form 30, including migohn Jaimes not mention in same and reserves the Rights to new witnesses and evokence provolelle To his Case in requals to these mallers que MAY - 3 2006

number 14. Plaintiff would like
to know who the Representatives from
the wilmington Paleco Field office
are as to the ones that seem their
towher and an alusts on plainty
taken place and any and all witness
there of that actually seen these
violations take place and plaintiff reserves
the right to new eventence Ett.

emore plointyf objects. signed and call

Marke Tundski sa plaining

copy sent is

